



WIDE TACTICAL EVIDENCE REPORT

Team A vs Team B

Wide Tactical Match Report

Prepared by SAM from wide tactical camera footage. The report is evidence-based and limited to what the reviewed footage supports.

Match	Team A vs Team B
Final score	Team A 2-0 Team B
Source	Wide tactical camera footage
Reviewed windows	1H 00:19:00-01:08:00; 2H 01:26:30-02:16:00
Report version	Club-facing evidence report
Contact	Ilkka@ai360.live

Executive Summary

Team A won the match 2-0. The reviewed wide tactical footage shows a restart-heavy match with repeated wide deliveries, recurring Team B pressure around Team A's defensive third, and selected Team A response phases. The second half contained the clearest match-state moments: Team B were awarded a penalty and did not score from it, while Team A's second goal originated from a penalty sequence.

The report is based only on visible evidence from the wide camera. It does not claim exact chance quality, player responsibility, or tactical superiority where the footage does not support that level of certainty.

Scoreline & Key Decisions

Item	Evidence-based conclusion
Final score	Team A 2-0 Team B
Team B penalty	Awarded in the second half; Team B did not score
Team A penalty	Awarded in the second half; Team A's second goal came from this penalty sequence
Goal evidence	Goals are treated through shot/penalty outcome evidence and restart context

Event Profile

Across the reviewed event sample, the match contained:

Event type	Count
THROW	31
FREE_KICK	26
CROSS	20
SHOT	12
GOAL_KICK	7
CORNER	5
KICK_OFF	4
CARD	2
PENALTY_AWARDED	2

This profile supports the main match rhythm: frequent restarts, many wide or box-directed deliveries, and several interrupted attacking phases.

Final Action / Delivery Outcomes

All 20 reviewed CROSS events were checked with focused keyframes and selected human review. Final reviewed outcomes:

Outcome	Count	Meaning in this match
Partial clearance / attack continued	9	A defender made first contact, but the attacking phase continued or pressure remained
Goal kick	4	Delivery or follow-up went directly over the end line
Shot created	2	Delivery directly produced a shot action
Corner won	2	Defender contact led to an attacking corner
Keeper claim	1	Goalkeeper cleanly caught the delivery
Cleared	1	Delivery was dealt with and cleared away from the immediate phase
Free-kick follow-up after goalkeeper possession	1	The delivery ended with goalkeeper possession; the later free kick came from a follow-up decision

Team B reached delivery positions repeatedly, but most deliveries did not create immediate shot outcomes. Several were partially cleared, went directly out for goal kicks, or led only to continued pressure rather than a confirmed high-quality chance.

Half-by-Half Summary

First Half

The first half was fragmented and low on confirmed high-quality chances. The visible play was mostly shaped by throw-ins, free kicks, corners, wide deliveries, and defensive first contacts.

- 00:31:50: Team B cross created a headed shot action.
- 00:44:26: Team B cross was cleared/deflected over the end line for a Team B corner.
- 01:04:01: Team A cross went directly over the end line for a Team B goal kick.
- 01:06:22: Team A cross was cleanly caught by the Team B goalkeeper.
- 01:07:11: Team B attack ended with a shot into the stands, followed by a Team A goal kick.

The first-half evidence supports territorial and delivery pressure, especially from Team B, but not a sequence of clearly confirmed high-quality chances.

Second Half

The second half was more active and provided clearer match-story material. Team B had recurring attacking-third pressure, including crosses, corners, shots, and a penalty-award decision. Team A also had important response phases and the penalty sequence that produced the second goal.

- 01:28:16: Team A delivery went over the end line after player contact; the goalkeeper was not involved, and the outcome was Team B goal kick.
- 01:36:30: A previous delivery ended in goalkeeper possession; the following free kick came from a later obstruction/prevention decision, not directly from the cross outcome.
- 01:50:10: Team A cross produced a headed shot action.
- 02:04:40: Team B cross was headed behind for a Team B corner.
- 02:05:21: Team B cross missed the immediate targets; Team A reached it first and cleared long toward midfield.
- 02:15:54: Long Team B delivery drifted directly over the end line for a Team A goal kick.

The second-half evidence shows more attacking-third pressure and more decisive match-state moments than the first half. Team B generated repeated pressure, while Team A defended several phases and produced the decisive scoring sequence from the penalty.

Match Story

The match was shaped by restarts and wide-play pressure rather than long uninterrupted possession phases. Team B repeatedly moved play toward Team A's defensive third, especially after halftime. Their pressure produced corners, partial clearances, shots, and a penalty award, but the reviewed evidence also shows many deliveries being slowed, claimed, cleared, or sent out for goal kicks.

Team A's response was not only defensive. The reviewed footage includes Team A deliveries into the box, shot actions, and the decisive second-half penalty sequence. Team A's second goal came from that penalty sequence, and the final scoreline was 2-0.

Coaching Perspective

Team B generated recurring attacking-third and delivery pressure, especially after halftime. Team A managed several of these phases through clearances, goalkeeper involvement, and restarts, and the decisive scoring evidence came from Team A's penalty sequence.

The practical finding is not simply that Team B crossed often. The more useful point is that repeated delivery positions did not usually turn into immediate shot outcomes. This distinction matters for coaching review because it separates territory and pressure from confirmed chance creation.

Camera & Evidence Limits

The wide tactical view is useful for team shape, territory, restart context, and overall pressure phases. It remains less reliable for detailed individual responsibility and crowded box contacts.

- Exact first contact in crowded penalty-area situations can be hard to verify.
- End-line decisions sometimes need restart context to confirm.
- Restart execution may be hidden if the camera follows players in the box.
- Later events can belong to a new phase, so they must not be used automatically as proof for a previous delivery.

Confirmed / Not Claimed

What Was Confirmed

- Team A won 2-0.
- The match had a fragmented rhythm with frequent restarts.
- Team B generated recurring attacking-third pressure and repeated deliveries.
- Most Team B deliveries did not create immediate shot outcomes.
- Team A had selected response phases and the decisive penalty sequence.
- Team B did not score from their penalty.
- Team A's second goal came from the penalty sequence.

What Was Not Claimed

The reviewed wide-camera footage should not be used by itself to claim:

- An exact expected-goals value.
- A precise clear-chance count.
- Exact player responsibility in crowded box actions.
- Exact last touch on every far-side or end-line decision.
- Detailed penalty-kick execution technique.
- Decisive tactical superiority without supporting close-up or tracking evidence.